

Washington Law Review

Volume 28 | Number 2

5-1-1953

Editor's Notes

Roy J. Morceri

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

Roy J. Morceri, Editors Notes, *Editor's Notes*, 28 Wash. L. Rev. & St. B.J. vi (1953).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol28/iss2/2>

This Editors Notes is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

EDITORIAL BOARD OF THE LAW SCHOOL

ROY J. MOCERI, *Editor*

LOUIS ROUSSE, *Associate Editor*
E. J. MCCORMICK, *Revision Editor*

JOHN L. HAY, *Contributions Editor*
JAMES B. MITCHELL, *Business Manager*

JOANNE BAILEY
ROBERT F. BRACHTENBACH
MYRON J. CARLSON
GORDON F. CRANDALL
NEWMAN L. DOTSON
RAY M. DUNLAP
LYNN EDWARDS
GEORGE K. FALER
EUGENE A. GREENWAY

GORDON JAYNES
JACK J. LOBDELL
IVOR LUSTY
JAMES F. McATEER
HUGH R. MCGOUGH
MICHAEL MINES
ROBERT S. MUCKLESTONE
ERNEST M. MURRAY

ELDON C. PARR
ROBERT PETERSON
DALE RIVELAND
RAYMOND H. SIDERIUS
GRANT J. SILVERNALE, JR.
THOMAS E. SMAL, JR.
WILLIAM S. STINNETTE
PHILIP A. TRAUTMAN

MILTON D. GREEN, *Faculty Adviser*

JOHN W. RICHARDS, *Faculty Business Manager*

EDITOR'S NOTES

At the recent Washington Law Review Banquet, the writer discussed at length with a member of the Washington Bar the types of law reviews and their functions. Several points from this conversation, the writer feels, are worthy of mention.

Today, there are two distinct types of law reviews, the national and the local. The former reviews decisions and legal problems of every jurisdiction, and serves to apprise lawyers generally of recent legal developments. The latter deals with the problems of a single state as a source of information to the attorneys thereof.

The *Washington Law Review* belongs to the latter category. As the vast majority of its subscribers are members of the Washington legal profession, it is considered desirable and proper that it be devoted primarily to the Washington lawyer. To this end, the recent decisions of every court that directly affects him, *viz.*, the Washington and United States Supreme Courts, the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the Federal District Courts for Washington, are studied and analyzed. Student comments emphasize Washington law. To serve out of state subscribers as well, the leading articles discuss problems of national interest.

It is the hope of the editors of the *Washington Law Review* that it has performed a creditable service in the past and we hope to improve this service in the future. Suggestions concerning problems thought desirable for future investigation are welcomed.

ROY J. MOCERI